IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

8:21-CR-247

VS.

MAEGAN L. BALFOUR,

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE
JUDGE'S FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATION

This matter is before the Court on the magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation recommending that the undersigned deny defendant Maegan L. Balfour's Motion for Issue Preclusion. Filing 54; Filing 40. Balfour has filed an objection to the Findings and Recommendation. Filing 55. Having carefully reviewed the matter *de novo*, the Court agrees with the magistrate judge that Balfour's assertion of issue preclusion fails for lack of privity. *See Estrada—Rodriguez v. Lynch*, 825 F.3d 397, 402 (8th Cir. 2016) (issue preclusion requires that "the party sought to be precluded in the second suit must have been a party, or in privity with a party, to the original lawsuit"). Balfour's reliance on the Northern District of Iowa case *Webb v. City of Waterloo* is flawed. The party precluded in that case was a party to the prior litigation, unlike the situation here. *See Webb v. Waterloo*, No. 17-CV-2001-CJW-MAR, 2019 WL 6736219, at *18–19 (N.D. Iowa Dec. 11, 2019) (considering the preclusive effect of the plaintiff's prior state-court motion to suppress). Therefore, the Court overrules Balfour's objection, adopts the magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation in its entirety, and denies Balfour's Motion. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

 Maegan L. Balfour's Objection to the magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, Filing 55, is overruled;

- 2. The magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation. Filing 54, is adopted in its entirety;
- 3. Maegan L. Balfour's Motion for Issue Preclusion, Filing 40, is denied; and
- 4. The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate the Government's response, Filing 56, as a pending motion.

Dated this 23rd day of March, 2023.

BY THE COURT:

Brian C. Buescher

United States District Judge